North Central Regional Transit District
Board Meeting
Friday, July 15, 2011

1. CALL TO ORDER:

A regular monthly meeting of the North Central Regional Transit District Board was called to order on the above date by Chair Rosemary Romero at 9:00 a.m. at Taos County Administration/Judicial Complex, 105 Albright Street, Taos, New Mexico.

a. Pledge of Allegiance

b. Moment of Silence

c. Roll Call

Roll call indicated the presence of a quorum as follows:

Members Present:	Elected Members	Alternate Designees
Los Alamos County		Ms. Anne Laurent
Taos County	Commissioner Dan Barrone	Mr. Jacob Caldwell
Santa Fé County	Commissioner Robert Anaya	Ms. Penny Ellis-Green
Rio Arriba County	Commissioner Barney Trujillo	Mr. Tomás Campos [T]
Pojoaque Pueblo	Mr. Tim Vigil [T]	
City of Santa Fé	Councilor Rosemary Romero	Ms. Judith Amer [T]
City of Española	Councilor Robert Seeds [T]	

Members Absent:	Elected Members	Alternate Designees
Los Alamos County	Councilor Michael Wismer	
Pojoaque Pueblo		Councilman Cameron Martínez
San Ildefonso Pueblo	Councilman Raymond Martínez	Ms. Sandra Maes
Ohkay Owingeh	1st Lt. Gov. Virgil Cata	Ms. Kateri Keevama
Santa Clara Pueblo	Sheriff John Shije	Ms. Mary Lou Quintana
Tesuque Pueblo	Gov Charles Dorame	Former Gov Milton Herrera
City of Española		Councilor Helen Kane-Salazar

Staff Members Present
Ms. Cynthia Halfar, Executive Assistant
Ms. Kelly Muniz, Financial Director
Mr. Peter Dwyer, Counsel for NCRTD
Ms. Annette Velarde, Public Information Officer
Mr. Tony Mortillaro, Interim Executive Director
Mr. Ivan Guillen, Regional Operations Manager

Others Present
Mr. Andrew Jandàček, Santa Fé County
Mr. Mike Kelly, Santa Fé Trails
Mr. David Harris, NMDOT

d. Introductions

Those present introduced themselves.

e. Approval of Agenda

Ms. Laurent moved to approve the agenda as amended with the Closed session to include discussion of limited personnel exception, NMSA Section 10-15-1.H (2). Ms. Ellis-Green seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

f. Approval of Board Meeting Minutes - June 3, 2011

Ms. Laurent moved to approve the Board minutes of June 3, 2011 as presented. Mr. Caldwell seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote. (Ms. Laurent and Commissioner

Anaya abstained).

2. ACTION ITEMS FOR APPROVAL/DISCUSSION:

A. Approval of NCRTD Special Service (Charter) Policy:

Mr. Mortillaro noted this policy was discussed at the June 24 Finance Committee meeting and recommended it be forwarded to the Board for consideration. The policy covers the original charter service.

Mr. Guillen explained that in order to reduce conflict with federal regulations they would use only the equipment and personnel funded by GRT. There were a myriad of requirements if they used federally funded personnel. They would only provide charter service if the right staff and equipment were available and the requester had to assure the RTD that they couldn't find the service elsewhere.

The agreement would require full payment once the agreement was signed and canceling the reservation less than seven days before the scheduled trip would limit the refund to 75% of initial cost.

The time would be computed from garage to site and return to garage. Service animals were allowed; alcohol couldn't be transported or consumed on the bus. Appeals could be made to Executive Director.

Commissioner Anaya moved to approve the charter service policy with a minor correction on page two (75%, not 25%). Commissioner Trujillo seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

B. Approval of NCRTD Para-Transit and Demand Response Service Policy:

Mr. Mortillaro said this policy needed some modifications.

Mr. Guillen explained that this was the ADA complimentary demand response and paratransit policy. They separated the demand response policy from the ADA paratransit service policy to make it clearer.

They referenced the specific CFR requirements which said any qualified disabled person requesting pick up service at 3/4 mile or less from a fixed route was offered the same service as ambulatory public. He explained how it worked and required scheduling the appointment on the day before. It was free since the RTD had no fares on fixed routes.

Commissioner Anaya asked if there were many requests from outside the 3/4 mile limit.

Mr. Guillen said they had 35 persons who qualified now. For those outside the 3/4 mile limit, they offered demand response up to 15 miles. They were getting about 30 calls per day to do that. When Pojoaque kids were in school there were more. They provided no service more than 15 miles from fixed routes.

He referred to page 4 that showed the routes where they were required to provide the service. The rest were commuter routes.

He went through a few other details and spelled out the application process.

Councilor Seeds understood this was to meet the minimum standard of 3/4 mile. He asked if they could extend that further.

Mr. Guillen agreed; it was the Board's policy to amend. Right now, they did provide it further out at a charge.

Councilor Seeds wanted to make it available at minimal cost.

Chair Romero thought they could certainly review those costs. She asked Mr. Guillen to consider the costs.

Councilor Seeds asked if there were any four-wheel drive vehicles in the fleet. Mr. Guillen said no.

Councilor Seeds thought maybe they should have one 4-wheel drive for winter conditions.

Chair Romero asked what the wishes of the Board were, noting that they could later consider changes.

Mr. Caldwell asked if there was a mechanism to request this service nearby the commuter routes.

Mr. Guillen said the service was only from the Española area and went almost all the way to Truchas.

Mr. Caldwell asked if demand response could be extended to other areas like Taos.

Mr. Mortillaro explained that at this point it had not been considered but they could look at it and come back to Finance with an analysis of that.

Mr. Caldwell thought it would be valuable.

Commissioner Anaya concurred with those comments. He asked what the parameters were for Santa Fé Trails.

Mr. Mike Kelly said Santa Fé Trails also had a 3/4 mile zone. It included city incorporated areas and Rancho Viejo but didn't get many calls out there. Because of the cross over operations with seniors, there was overlap in those boundaries.

Commissioner Anaya asked if there were resources to deal with outlying areas like they had out of Española and Chimayó.

Mr. Kelly pointed out that there were guidelines for municipal funding. They didn't get any rural funding

but there were grants from time to time. JARC and New Freedom grants were for commuting.

Chair Romero said the Finance Committee would look at this issue and consider the costs.

Mr. Mortillaro said they would also look for what other federal sources might be available.

Commissioner Anaya thought it sounded like Santa Fé County was covered and he presumed Los Alamos County was covered along with pieces in Rio Arriba County.

Mr. Kelly said when someone was just outside that zone and it wouldn't cost any extra, they could provide a variance within that zone. So variances were available.

Commissioner Anaya asked if the Board could incorporate something like that today.

Mr. Mortillaro preferred not to do it on the fly but they could consider it and bring back a recommendation.

Ms. Laurent thought the policy was going in the right direction. The piece here had budget implications. Some context about how it was established would be good to include.

Chair Romero said Finance Committee would review the financial implications and bring back a recommendation.

Commissioner Barrone moved to approve the NCRTD Para-Transit and Demand Response Service Policy as presented. Ms. Laurent seconded the motion and it passed by majority voice vote with all voting in favor except Councilor Seeds who voted against.

C. Approval of Resolution 2011-06: The FY 2012 NCRTD Compensation Plan:

Mr. Mortillaro reported this resolution was reviewed at the Finance Committee meeting on June 24 and they recommended parts 1, 2 and 3 to the Board and asked the Board to consider 4, 5, and 6.

He explained that the STEP plan was adopted in 2007. It was developed through job classification analysis and a market survey. Since its adoption, four years have gone by and it had not been adjusted since then. There had been no market survey done either.

The compensation policy asked for up to a 3% increases for performance this year. It was in the budget that the Board approved. On the anniversary date, the employee would be evaluated and the supervisor had right to recommend an increase or deny an increase. The amount was set by Board. So there was no ability to discern if one employee performed better than others or not.

Commissioner Anaya felt they were still in a tough economic climate. Many others in the state could not even look at increases now. This was the first month of the FY and they would have time to look at it over the year.

Commissioner Anaya moved to "table" the consideration of the resolution. Commissioner Trujillo seconded the motion and it passed by roll call vote with Rio Arriba County, Taos County, Santa Fé County, Pojoaque Pueblo and City of Española voting in favor and Los Alamos County and City of Santa Fé voting no.

Commissioner Trujillo asked if it wouldn't be proper to let new Executive Director manage this.

Mr. Mortillaro explained that this was not a change. The decision was whether the Board wanted to go forward with increases up to 3%. The packet showed the options in the compensation plan. Basically it was not funded and the Board would be funding the system. The Board could consider not giving any increase or maintain what was in the budget and delay distribution by six months. Staff would still see the performance review on their anniversary and have none granted in the first half of the year.

The budget impact was $33,000 out of $10.5 million budget. One of the discussions at Finance was to approve a COLA. It could be 0-3%. A COLA would cost $60,000 which would be more than the performance option.

Commissioner Anaya said he would love to give Santa Fé County personnel a mechanism for performance increases but couldn't because there was no money for increases. Based solely on the fact that they didn't have the resources to do it and given the statewide climate he hoped there would be a chance later to consider it. Until they had a grasp that economics were changing positively, he felt no increase could be considered at this time. He meant no disrespect to the employees but a realistic perspective was needed everywhere.

Mr. Mortillaro understood. When they brought the budget to the Board for adoption enough was incorporated for the raises but then he had a proviso to bring this topic back. So he took it through Finance Committee and they recommended the Board's consideration of this. There were options considered there and were incorporated here.

Commissioner Anaya understood at that time that they had the resources set aside and also had reserve amounts. But today they were not in that position in his opinion. The motion to table would keep the discussion going.

Chair Romero corrected him that a motion to table was not debatable.

Mr. Mortillaro said the options were: to do nothing or the Board could look at these other options and could stagger them forward such as waiting six months. That would be an appropriate motion as well. He cautioned that they were not allowed to do retroactive adjustments by state law.

Chair Romero said the details were listed on page two.

Commissioner Anaya noted that at any future board meeting this could be pulled off the table and the Board could consider any percentage.

Councilor Seeds said last year they recognized the economy was headed in a bad way. They established a pay plan that was good but the economy was good then. The Board needed to look at the way that was structured.

Chair Romero commented that the City of Santa Fé met its budget with cuts and combined positions. They went from a $6 million deficit to meeting the budget. Some people got raises. Every municipality managed their budget as best they could. We need to be careful and manage our resources as best we can. The Board was looking at an equitable process and had money in the budget. She hoped some of them would look at them carefully.

Mr. Caldwell wanted to broaden the perspective a little. He didn't disagree with Commissioner Anaya. Everyone had struggled with the concerns. He felt they should be dealing with it in the budget context. In order to give some guidance to staff with this decision, the whole process was to analyze what was fair to our staff. The STEP plan was designed over time to bring staff up to what was fair. Staff was asking the Board to look at it again after four years had passed.

The Board needed to say whether it believed in the compensation plan - that was what was fair. The $33,000 in the budget was based on everyone getting an increase on performance. If the Board wanted to preserve the compensation plan that was a decision that was already made. He was not sure it was fair to table it indefinitely.

Commissioner Anaya asked if they were already past the vote. They could put it on every subsequent board meeting agenda. He could bring it up next time but his point was to bring it up every two months. He agreed with Chair Romero that it should be based on the budget.

Ms. Laurent thought there might be certain triggers to reconsider. She agreed they needed to consider it sometime.

Chair Romero said it could be raised at the next meeting on August 5th and considered then.

Commissioner Trujillo said passing the compensation plan meant the staff would be eligible for a raise. But in his opinion there was no room for raises right now. Regardless of the finances, there was no way the RTD should be giving raises. He was sure staff worked hard and were deserving but there was no room for raises now.

Mr. Mortillaro pointed out that the resolution said nothing about increases but adopted the pay plan. The resolution just said the Board had to adopt a compensation plan. A separate motion would be needed to grant raises. The personnel policy required that the Board adopt a compensation plan each year. The Executive Director could not make changes in the plan. The Board had never made a decision about exempt and non-exempt positions.

Chair Romero said Mr. Mortillaro was asking the Board to adopt the pay plan as the next option.

Ms. Amer agreed with Mr. Caldwell that they needed to have a separate agenda item after investigating comparable pay for staff. She agree with Commissioner Trujillo that even if they had the budget that they

should hold off now on raises.

Councilor Seeds agreed with Ms. Amer.

Commissioner Anaya asked if regard to Item C if because they were at the beginning of the FY no retroactive raises could be granted and if that meant he needed to know today.

Mr. Mortillaro said there were 20 core employees that would be eligible for performance based increases from July to December if the Board approved the plan.

Commissioner Anaya asked if Finance approved the plan and increases. The core concept was that now was not the time for increases. If Finance did not approve the resources and the increases and no retroactive raises were allowed then they would have no parity because they didn't get them in July.

Mr. Mortillaro asked the Board to separate the compensation plan from the compensation policy.

Mr. Dwyer thought he understood what the Board wanted to achieve. Today was not a good time to give raises. But there were two items under C and that was where the hangup was. Mr. Mortillaro indicated there needed to be a structure. Adopting a plan would constrain the Executive Director in giving raises. To adopt the plan would set the controls in place.

Councilor Seeds didn't know why they were discussing it so much since they tabled it an hour ago. Staff had gotten raises since 2007. If the Board approved the plan it would allow raises without board approval.

Chair Romero disagreed. She didn't think people understood what they had tabled.

Mr. Caldwell thought everyone was saying the same thing in different ways. The agenda was one thing - the approval of the resolution. The way he saw it was that the pay plan was a formula of pay raises from minimum to maximum and between were steps. By adopting the compensation plan The Board agreed on the pay scales but it said nothing about how the Board wanted those steps to occur. The Board had full authority over how those steps were to occur. The Board could freeze them indefinitely or pending some empirical data about the budgetary situation, i.e. GRT revenue, could proceed with some increases.

He asked if the Board wanted to take the formal step and comply with personnel policy to adopt it every year. And then as a second act, he asked if the Board wanted to accept these separate steps. They weren't ready to have that discussion today.

Mr. Dwyer clarified that tabling didn't move it to another meeting but kept it in this meeting time.

A motion to postpone to a date certain would move it to a different meeting and postponing indefinitely essentially would kill it.

He respected that the people who won the vote should say "we won and lets move on." It was clear the Board was not giving any raises at the time.

Commissioner Anaya agreed. There were two items - the plan and the raises.

Commissioner Anaya moved to consider the matter at the next meeting. Commissioner Trujillo seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

Commissioner Trujillo asked that next time, the #1 recommendation should be the first one presented.

Mr. Mortillaro agreed and would restructure that.

D. Approval of Additive Alternates for the Jim West Transit Center:

Chair Romero announced that Mr. Valencia was at the Rio Metro Board meeting in Albuquerque.

Mr. Mortillaro explained how the bid was awarded. The additive alternates were listed on page 3.

1. Silkey Way was next to Auto Zone. Part was paved but not the access to NCRTD property. Española had been flexible and easy to work with. We should be paving that road at a cost of $75,000.
2. Base Course for the bus storage area would cost $74,000.
3. Board room divider and wire mesh for security would cost $57,000.

Change orders requested totaled $23,249.

A recommendation for $250,000 was made to cover the alternatives. They could hold off on the board room and wire mesh and with the change orders would total $191,000. The Finance Committee approved and recommended the $191,000 option.

Commissioner Barrone asked since there was an unknown factor here when replacing soils and couldn't know if it was all contaminated if there was a cap on that part.

Mr. Mortillaro explained that there was no contamination there but just wet soil that had to be replaced. That's why they were asking for $250,000.

He added that in the entry and in the Executive Director office, there was wood ceiling tile. That was the only office that had it so he asked them to take it out and put in regular tile. There was a dedicated outlet for the refrigerator in the plan that would cost $800 and he objected. He was scrutinizing all of it very carefully.

Councilor Seeds asked who gave the bid on Silkey Way.

Mr. Mortillaro clarified that the additives were incorporated in the bid submittal.

Councilor Seeds asked why they weren't they picked up originally.

Mr. Mortillaro said he wasn't here then but they did a geo-technical analysis. They anticipated the soils would dry out but they had not. Instead they have acted like a sponge from the water table.

Mr. Dwyer explained that when the bid request was reformed one amendment was that things not absolutely essential were put in as additive alternatives. Each bid included those alternatives. The change orders were just things that were not foreseen.

Ms. Laurent moved to approve the request for $250,000. Chair Romero seconded the motion and it passed by roll call vote with Los Alamos County, Rio Arriba County, Taos County, Santa Fé County, Pojoaque Pueblo, City of Santa Fé and City of Española voting in favor and none voting against or abstaining.

E. Approval of NCRTD Financial Policies:

Chair Romero reported that the financial policies were reviewed by Mr. Mortillaro and he was making these recommendations to amend them.

Mr. Mortillaro said the first financial policies were approved in 2009. The original was four pages. He created a matrix to show what was new and he went through the highlights of changes being proposed. The budgetary policies were created in order to understand the budget. Ten-year long-term financial plans were being written now. The quarterly cash and investment report and monthly on-year cash flow forecast were new reports. There was a place holder for methodology for allocation of GRT. He anticipated bringing that in December. They adopted a percentage based formula only for 2012. There was a new section on Tribal Transit Funds for how they were utilized. It was not finalized and would go to a future Finance Committee meeting.

On page 5, the reserve policy was not a new policy. The capital program policy on page 6 was new.

Mr. Campos asked if there would be an investment policy for reserves.

Mr. Mortillaro said he would get to it.

Mr. Campos asked about disposition of capital property.

Mr. Mortillaro said according to state law the contracts with NMDOT had to include that.

Page 8 showed CIP funding which was a pay as you go cash policy. Only as a last resort would the RTD do debt financing. GRT had a sunset date so debt financing was difficult. Page 13 had the investment policy. Page 17 had the risk management policy. Page 18 was the periodic review and Page 19 had the annual review policy.

Mr. Harris said where a couple of references to Federal Transit were made should say compliance with NMDOT. And rather than the laundry list he suggested it just state that they would handle all the responsibilities using the federal language.

Commissioner Anaya moved to approve the financial policies as amended by Mr. Harris.

Commissioner Barrone seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

F. Approval of Resolution 2011-07: Authorizing Staff to Apply for Various State and Federal Funding Sources:

Mr. Mortillaro said deadlines didn't always correspond to board meeting dates. This resolution provided the ability for staff to apply for a grant while the decision whether to accept was with the board.

Commissioner Anaya moved to accept the resolution unless there were match requirements that needed Board prior approval.

Mr. Mortillaro said that staff would not apply if they could not meet the match.

Commissioner Anaya moved to approve the resolution 2011-07. Councilor Seeds seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

3. DISCUSSION ITEMS:

G. Conflict of Interest Forms for All Board Members for FY 2012

Mr. Mortillaro asked each Board member to pull the form out of the packet and sign it. Without them, they would get audit findings.

Ms. Muniz explained that she just needed to have them on hand.

Chair Romero asked those on the phone to fill them out and send them to Ms. Muniz.

H. Update of The Jim West Regional Transit Center

Mr. Mortillaro referred to the report in the packet and said things were moving forward. The interior schedule was fine. The outside was behind schedule, mostly because of the soils.

The good news was that the Ready initiative using stimulus money had addressed the broadband effort. The RTD was one of those facilities and they would bring fiber optic directly to the NCRTD building. With VOIP go

Chair Romero asked that Mitch Davenport report at the August meeting.

I. Finance/Regional Coordination & Consolidation Subcommittee Report

Mr. Caldwell noted that they had already addressed the topics.

J. Executive Report for June 2011

Mr. Mortillaro said the report was in the packet.

He was looking at changing the cell phone policy.

He was approached again by the Mayor of Chama who was interested in membership. He agreed to bring those matters to the Board.

Chair Romero recalled he was requested to provide information at the Los Alamos meeting and asked if he submitted that information.

Mr. Mortillaro said he had not and agreed to refresh his memory.

Mr. Caldwell asked if he had any conversations with the Town of Taos. Mr. Mortillaro said no.

Mr. Caldwell said he did. There was confusion about what was involved.

Mr. Mortillaro invited a call from the Mayor.

Councilor Seeds asked that Mr. Mortillaro make an effort to communicate with Chama and Taos Town to get on board. Mr. Mortillaro agreed.

Mr. Mortillaro said they did an administration manual. There was concern about legal service costs and there was now a policy for it.

In terms of HR practices, they didn't yet have automated personnel software but just purchased a module for it.

He thanked the Board for approving the revised financial policy. It was a big step forward. Thanks for approving the other policies too.

He reported that in Edgewood some activities had taken place.

Los Alamos approved an allocation to NCRTD, thanks to Ms. Laurent and Councilor Wismer. $1.1 million was allocated and that would end in 2012 and was about 10% of revenues. Now they needed to find another source for those funds.

Commissioner Anaya asked what discussion had been done with LANL.

Mr. Mortillaro said none.

Commissioner Anaya felt that was long overdue and some day should solicit the Director of LANL to attend a meeting. The NCRTD should have a long and constant relationship with the LANL, not to subvert Los Alamos County but how the NCRTD could have a good relationship with them to get grant money.

Mr. Mortillaro noted that DOE made a one time contribution to Park and Ride four years ago. They came to a board meeting and it didn't go well. But he did have those past relationships with DOE and would speak with them.

Commissioner Anaya moved that Chair Romero and Mr. Mortillaro write a letter from the Board to express interest in expanding the NCRTD relationship and request that the Board have a position on the LANL External Committee.

Chair Romero clarified that this was a discussion item so his motion was taken as a suggestion. Maybe some others might help with it also.

Commissioner Anaya agreed to share more information with Mr. Mortillaro on it.

Ms. Laurent thanked NCRTD for their help with Los Alamos during the recent fire.

K. Report by Chairwoman Romero: Update on the Rail Runner's Weekend Service

Chair Romero reported that the Rio Metro Board had voted to discontinue weekend service. She met with the board members who came to Santa Fé and encouraged them to look at every way to continue the service. They indicated that they would continue the weekend service and look at all agreements and possible sources. The press release should come out this afternoon.

They invited NCRTD to the table to continue discussions with them. They did address the $1.1 million deficit and a task force would look at the longer term issues.

L. NCRTD Rider ship Report for May 2011

This report was emailed to everyone. If anyone had questions, they should direct them to Ms. Trujillo by email.

M. Budget & Expenditure Report and Status of the FY 2010 Audit

Ms. Muniz reported the auditors were in house and doing field work. They would start on the federal part on Tuesday. Everything was reconciled and balanced. They should have field work done by the 29th and two weeks more to put it together for the state auditor.

She anticipated they would start on the FY 11 in mid August and would meet the December 15 audit deadline.

On GRT she said they had 10 of 12 months in the report. She would keep updating with the last two months. They were going to match or exceed budget by a little bit.

For the Budget report she had about 98% entered for the FY. In Sept she would bring the final budget report. They were tracking pretty close. Administration was at 93% and Operations at about 96%. Capital expenditures were just starting.

Commissioner Anaya commented that the NCRTD had been going through de-escalating on this board, subject to a lot of press and public scrutiny and his comments on the interview were based on that de-escalation. As the RTD got back on solid ground he would advocate for proper staff and training for them. He appreciated Ms. Muniz and all the staff who went through a lot of strife and agony in these last 8 months. Chair Romero had done well also. He thanked all of them.

Chair Romero felt the policies were the lynch pin for the Board and the bookends for the books. There was an incredible amount of work. She believed they were on the right track.

4. CLOSED SESSION

N. Pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 10-15-1.H (2) to discuss limited personnel matters and and (7) to discuss threatened or pending litigation.

Commissioner Trujillo, Ms. Amer and Mr. Dwyer excused themselves from the meeting at this time.

Commissioner Barrone moved to go into closed executive session pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 10-15-1. H(2) to discuss limited personnel matters and NMSA 1978, Section 10-15-1.H(7) to discuss threatened or pending litigation. Commissioner Anaya seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous roll call vote with Los Alamos County, Rio Arriba County, Taos County, Santa Fé County, Pueblo of Pojoaque, City of Santa Fé and City of Española voting in the affirmative and none voting against or abstaining.

The Board went into executive closed session from 11:28 a.m. until 12:10 p.m.

Commissioner Anaya moved to return to open session. Chair Romero seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous roll call vote with Los Alamos County, Taos County, Santa Fé County and the City of Santa Fé voting in favor and none against or abstaining.

Chair Romero announced to the public that no decisions were made during the executive session and the only matters discussed were limited personnel matters and pending or threatened litigation matters.

5. MATTERS FROM THE BOARD

Commissioner Anaya announced that in southern Santa Fé County a lot of people would go into Albuquerque and Torrance County was part of the Rio Metro Board and he was going to request that his county request a seat on the Rio Metro Board.

Chair Romero said the NCRTD made a decision not to be on the Rio Metor Board for financial reasons.

If they decided to request that NCRTD be members, it would come to this Board. There were financial implications. She understood that representation was important and they were trying to figure it all out.

6. MISCELLANEOUS

Next Board Meeting: August 5, 2011 at 9:00 a.m. at Santa Fé.

7. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 12:15 p.m.

Approved by:

Rosemary Romero, Chair

Attest:

Michael Wismer, Secretary

Submitted by:

Carl Boaz, Stenographer